
****

F/SOP/FYDP 02/07/00

**NED University of Engineering & Technology**

**Department of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Engineering**

<FYDP Course Code> Final Year Design Project

**Grading of FYDP Proposal (7th/Fall Semester) (Weightage - 6%)**

**Project ID**: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Project Title**:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **S. No** | **Student Name** | **Seat No.** | **I**  **Problem Identification and Objectives**  **(3)** | **II**  **Relevance to SDGs**  **(3)** | **III**  **Proposed Methodology**  **(3)** | **IV**  **Work Plan**  **(3)** | **Weighted Average Score**  **(12)** |
|  |  |  | **PLO-2 (%)** | **PLO-7 (%)** | **PLO-3 (%)** | **PLO-11 (%)** |  |
| **1** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **2** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **3** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **4** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Use Rubric FYDP-OBE-01 for each student.

Average percentage score from the rubrics filled by supervisor and examiner shall be placed in the above table.

***Weighted Average Score = [PLO-2 (%)\*3+ PLO-7 (%)\*3+ PLO-3 (%)\*3+ PLO-11 (%)\*3]/100***

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Head of FYDP Steering Committee**

**FYDP-OBE-01**

**NED University of Engineering & Technology**

**Department of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Engineering**

<FYDP Course Code> Final Year Design Project

**Rubric for Project Proposal (7th/Fall Semester) (Weightage - 6%)**

Project ID: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Student Name:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Seat No.:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criterion** | **Levels of Attainment (%)** | | | | |
|  | **Unacceptable**  **(0)** | **Just acceptable**  **(25)** | **Average**  **(50)** | **Good**  **(75)** | **Excellent**  **(100)** |
| **I**  **Problem Identification and Objectives** | The problem statement is not given and/or objective is not stated at all. | The problem statement and objectives are not suitably described. | The problem statement and objectives are just described. | The problem statement and objectives are clearly described. | The problem statement and objectives are well-structured and clearly described. |
| **II**  **Relevance to SDGs** | No relevance to SDGs established. | Some relevance to SDGs without any clear link. | Reasonable consideration of SDGs and their linkage with the proposed work. | Clear relevance between the project work and SDGs established. | Relevance to SDGs is clearly established with appropriate consideration for relevant SDGs. |
| **III**  **Proposed Methodology** | Not defined in the proposal. | Proposed methodology is marginally practical and relevant to the project objectives. | Proposed methodology is reasonably practical and relevant to the project objectives. | Proposed methodology is technically practical and relevant to the project objectives. | Proposed methodology is well-structured and highly relevant to the project objectives. |
| **IV**  **Work Plan** | Does not have a timeline for different phases of the project. | Has developed a timeline but cannot clearly describe the different activities of the work and corresponding timelines. | Has developed a timeline and can describe the different activities of the work and corresponding timelines with minimal prompting from peers. | Has developed a timeline describing when most parts of the work will be done. Student can describe the different activities of the work and corresponding timelines. | Developed a reasonable, complete timeline describing when different parts of the work will be done. Student can clearly and confidently describe the timeline with milestones. |

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Evaluator’s Signature**