****

F/SOP/FYDP 02/11/00

**NED University of Engineering & Technology**

**Department of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Engineering**

<FYDP Course Code> Final Year Design Project

**Grading of Final Report (8th/Spring Semester) (Weightage - 16%)**

**Project ID**: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Project Title**:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **S. No** | **Student Name** | **Seat No.** | **I****Literature Review****(4)** | **II****Methodology****(4)** | **III****Results and Discussion****(4)** | **IV****Conclusions and Recommendations****(4)** | **V****Relevance to SDGs****(4)** | **VI****Originality****(4)** | **VII****Formatting / Organization****(4)** | **VIII****Technical Writing****(4)** | **Weighted Average Score** **(32)** |
|  |  |  | **PLO-2** | **PLO-3** | **PLO-3** | **PLO-12** | **PLO-7** | **PLO-8** | **PLO-10** | **PLO-10** |  |
| **1** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **2** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **3** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **4** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Use Rubric FYDP-OBE-05 for each student.

 Average percentage score from the rubrics filled by supervisor and examiner shall be placed in the above table.

***Weighted Average Score = [PLO-2 (%)\*4+ PLO-3 (%)\*8+ PLO-12 (%)\*4+ PLO-7 (%)\*4+PLO-8(%)\*4+ PLO-10(%)\*8]/100***

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

 **FYDP Supervisor FYDP Examiner**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**NED University of Engineering & Technology**

**Department of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Engineering**

<FYDP Course Code> Final Year Design Project

**Rubric for Final Report (8th/Spring Semester) (Weightage - 16%)**

Project ID: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Student Name:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Seat:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 **FYDP-OBE-05**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Levels of Attainment (%)** |
|  | **Unacceptable (0)** | **Just acceptable (25)** | **Basic (50)** | **Good (75)** | **Excellent (100)** |
| ILiterature Review | No literature review carried out. | Partial literature review conducted, does not identify the problem. | Basic literature review carried out with some detail and relevant papers. | Literature review covers majors areas related to the project with relevant sources identifying the problem. | Extensive literature review conducted in a scientific manner with proper citations and referencing, identifying. the problem. |
| IIMethodology | No methodology presented. | Some details available without explanation of the steps. | Methodology with basic steps defined. | Methodology defined with good details on all the phases of the project. | Detailed methodology defined with all the relevant steps in extensive detail. |
| IIIResults & Discussion | No results and their discussion presented. | Some results without discussion available. | Results with reasonable level of discussion. | Results with detailed discussion presented. | Detailed results with extensive discussion presented. |
| IVConclusions & Recommendations | No conclusions and recommendations. | Some conclusions with no relevance to project objectives. | Conclusions partially addressing objectives and recommendations. | Conclusions reasonably addressing project objectives and relevant recommendations for future work. | Conclusions adequately addressing the objectives with relevant recommendations for future work. |
| VRelevance to SDGs | No relevance to SDGs established. | Some relevance to SDGs without any clear link. | Reasonable consideration of SDGs and their linkage with the proposed work. | Clear relevance between the project work and SDGs established. | Relevance to SDGs is clearly established with appropriate consideration for relevant SDGs. |
| VIOriginality | Project is plagiarized. | Project is not unique, but modified and improved from the existing sources with minimal changes. | Project is not unique, but modified and improved from the existing sources with adequate changes. | Project is distinctive and based on original ideas. | Project is unique, creative and innovative. |
| VIIFormatting/ Organization | Non-adherence to formatting guidelines and disorganized. | Formatting guidelines barely followed and poorly organized. | Formatting guidelines adequately followed and organized to some extent. | AppropriatelyFormatted and organized. | Written work is very well formatted and organized. |
| VIIITechnical Writing | Poor technical writing. | Minimal use of technical language. | Reasonable use of technical language. | Appropriate use of technical language. | Commendable use of technical language. |

Separate rubrics shall be filled by the Supervisor and Examiner. **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

 **FYDP Supervisor / Examiner**