****

F/SOP/FYDP 02/12/00

**NED University of Engineering & Technology**

**Department of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Engineering**

<FYDP Course Code> Final Year Design Project

**Semester 2 (8th/Spring Semester) Final Evaluation (Weightage - 20%)**

**Project ID**: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Project Title**:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **S. No** | **Student Name** | **Seat No.** | **I****Relevance / Content****(8)** | **II****Organization and Delivery****(8)** | **III****Design / Layout****(8)** | **IV****Time Management****(8)** | **V****Question / Answers****(8)** | **Weighted Average Score** **(40)** |
|  |  |  | **PLO-10** | **PLO-10** | **PLO-10** | **PLO-10** | **PLO-10** |  |
| **1** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **2** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **3** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **4** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 Use Rubric FYDP-OBE-06 for each student.

 Average percentage score from the rubrics filled by supervisor and examiner shall be placed in the above table.

***Weighted Average Score = [PLO-10 (%)\*8+ PLO-10 (%)\*8+ PLO-10 (%)\*8+ PLO-10 (%)\*8+ PLO-10 (%)\*8]/100***

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

 **FYDP Supervisor FYDP Examiner Chairperson**

**NED University of Engineering & Technology**

**Department of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Engineering**

**<FYDP Course Code> Final Year Design Project**

 **Rubric for Semester 2 (8th/Spring Semester) Final Evaluation (Weightage - 20%)**

Project ID: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Student Name:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Seat No.:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 **FYDP-OBE-06**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Levels of Attainment (%)** |
|  | **Unacceptable****(0)** | **Just acceptable****(25)** | **Basic****(50)** | **Good****(75)** | **Excellent****(100)** |
| IRelevance/ Content | Too limited relevance to program and low degree of difficulty. | Limited relevance to program and limited degree of difficulty. | Partially relevance to program and moderate degree of difficulty. | Relevant to program with adequate degree of difficulty. | Completely relevant to program and high degree of difficulty. |
| IIOrganization & Delivery | No participation. | Lacks confidence with weak preparation, organization, and delivery. | Partially confident with reasonable preparation, organization, and delivery. | Comfortable presentation with adequate preparation, organization, and delivery. | Confident and comfortable presentation with exceptional preparation, organization, and delivery. |
| IIIDesign/ Layout | Inappropriate illustrations and non- pleasing layout. | Poor illustrations and non-aesthetic layout. | Most illustrations are appropriate but layout is cluttered. | Illustrations areappropriate and good space management. | Very well presentedillustrations. Layout is pleasing to the eye. |
| IVTime Management | Noticeably exceed or fall short of the time allotted. | Speakers either rush orramble excessively to meet the time allotted. | Speakers showed somedifficulty in meeting the time limits. | Speakers showed nodifficulty in meeting the time limits. | Comfortably use timeallotted, without evidence of compensation. |
| VQuestions/ Answers | Neither understands the question, nor could reply. | Adequate understanding of the question and reply. | Seemed to understandthe main points of the question and replied tothose with ease. | Clearly understood the question and replied with ease. | Understood the question in-depth and replied confidently. |

 Separate rubrics shall be filled by the Supervisor and Examiner.  **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

 **FYDP Supervisor / Examiner**