
****

F/SOP/FYDP 02/08/00

**NED University of Engineering & Technology**

**Department of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Engineering**

<FYDP Course Code> Final Year Design Project

**Grading of Semester 1 (7th/Fall Semester) Progress (Weightage - 10%)**

**Project ID**: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Project Title**:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **S. No** | **Student Name** | **Seat No.** | **I**  **Intellectual Contribution**  **(5)** | **II**  **Attendance**  **(5)** | **III**  **Coherence with group**  **(5)** | **IV**  **Response to Questions**  **(5)** | **Weighted Average Score**  **(20)** |
|  |  |  | **PLO-2** | **PLO-8** | **PLO-9** | **PLO-10** |  |
| **1** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **2** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **3** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **4** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Use Rubric FYDP-OBE-02 for each student.

Average percentage score from the rubrics filled by supervisor and examiner shall be placed in the above table.

***Weighted Average Score = [PLO-2 (%)\*5+ PLO-8 (%)\*5+ PLO-9 (%)\*5+ PLO-10 (%)\*5]/100***

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**FYDP Supervisor**

**NED University of Engineering & Technology**

**Department of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Engineering**

<FYDP Course Code> Final Year Design Project

**Rubric for Semester 1 (7th/Fall Semester) Progress (Weightage - 10%)**

**FYDP-OBE-02**

Project ID: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Student Name:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Seat No.:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Levels of Attainment (%)** | | | | |
|  | **Unacceptable**  **(0)** | **Just acceptable**  **(25)** | **Average**  **(50)** | **Good**  **(75)** | **Excellent**  **(100)** |
| **I**  **Intellectual Contribution** | Doesn’t contribute to the project work and does not show understanding of the different project attributes. | Barely participates in the project activities and shows some understanding of the different project attributes. | Contributes in some activities of the project and shows reasonable understanding of the different project attributes. | Contributes effectively in majority of the project activities and has good understanding of the complex project attributes. | Enthusiastic and contributes in all activities of the project and demonstrates very good understanding of the complex project attributes. |
| **II**  **Attendance** | To be taken from Attendance Proforma maintained by the Supervisor | | | | |
| **III**  **Coherence with group** | Non-cooperative. | Rarely contributes in group discussions and not a good team member. | Sometimes contributes useful ideas in group discussions and a satisfactory group member. | Usually provides useful ideas in group discussions and a good group member who tries hard. | Routinely provides useful ideas in group discussions and a definite leader who contributes a lot of effort. |
| **IV**  **Response to Questions** | Neither understands the question, nor could reply. | Adequate understanding of the question and reply. | Seemed to understand the main points of the question and replied to those with ease. | Clearly understood the question and replied with ease. | Understood the question in-depth and replied confidently. |

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**FYDP Supervisor**