



	ar Design Pro	oject						
Group Number		Name & Seat Num		of Student.				
Criteria of evaluation as per PLOs	Marks (as per weightage of 100%)	Internal Examiner Assigned Marks External Examiner Assigned Marks						
		Excellent		Good	Average	Unsatisfactory	Evaluator Marks	
		80-100%		60-80%	50-60%	Below 50%		
Investigation	15	The complex engineering problem is investigated in a scientific way. Valid conclusions and required outcomes have been achieved.		Most of the steps are carried out with scientific approach. More case studies/experiments are needed to test in order to draw authentic conclusion.	Technical analysis requires improvement OR drew conclusion is based on insufficient data or literature review.	Does not follow the methodical way of investigation at all and is not conclusive.		
Design and Development of Solution	15	Proposed design/ solution follows systematic approach in all aspects. It complies with existing standards of respective field and fulfills all necessary requirements.		Proposed design/ solution fulfills most of the requirements with some recommended improvements. It does not comply with existing standards of the respective field.	Few deficiencies in exploring design/ solution are implemented without multi-phase verifications. OR Unable to achieve desired outcomes.	Proposed design/solution does not follow engineering approach and has serious deficiencies in the theoretical framework of design/ solution.		
Project Management	15	Excellent pro	n deadline and ogress has been o so far.	Submitted on deadline and good progress has been made so far.	Submitted on deadline and satisfactory progress has been made so far.	Failed to follow deadline and unsatisfactory progress.		
Environment and Sustainability	10	The impact of I environmental (been thorough) addressed (if th highlighted) Ex outlined the sys approach towar development.	contexts has y assessed and here were issues splored and stematic	The impact of FYP on environmental contexts has been assessed. Proposed idea towards sustainable development.	environmental contexts has been outlined. Weak approach towards	The impact of FYP on environmental contexts has been not been assessed or ignored No approach has been given towards the sustainable development.		
The Engineer and Society	10	Most of the soc safety, legal and are assessed as addressed based in deeply infor- contextual know Significant con responsibilities	d cultural issues well as d on reasoning med way and wledge. sequent	assessed, based on reasoning in deeply informed way and contextual knowledge. Some consequent responsibilities	and cultural issues are outlined . Very few consequent responsibilities relevant to	None of the societal, health, safety, legal and cultural issues are dealt. Relevant responsibilities relevant to professional engineering practice are ignored.		

Print on both sides of a paper

Communication	10	practice are assessed and outlined. Use of very fluent speech. Effective use of charts, graphs, figures etc. Dressed in highly professional manner.	Satisfactory use of inflection. Fair use of charts, graphs, figures etc. Generally well-groomed and professional.	Occasional use of inflection. Limited use of charts, graphs, figures etc. Dressed neatly but casually.	Monotone voice. Information in unstructured way. Dressed inappropriately.	
Lifelong Learning	10	Ability to appropriately use skills, theories, or methodologies gained towards project working. High potential for commercialization with little or no refinement in future.	Ability to fairly use skills, theories, or methodologies gained towards project working. Easily commercialize with significant refinement in future.	Some use of skills, theories, or methodologies gained towards project working.	No use of skills, theories, or methodologies gained towards project working.	
Team Work	10	Almost always listens to, shares with and supports the efforts of others.	Usually listens to, shares with and supports the efforts of others.	Sometimes listens to, shares with and supports the efforts of others.	Rarely listens to, shares with and supports the efforts of others.	
Ethics	5	Similarity index is less than 15%	Similarity index is less than or equal to 20%	Similarity index is less than or equal to 30%	Similarity index is greater than 30%	
Total Marks	100					
Average Marl	s of Interna	al and External Examiner				

Name, PEC & Signature of Evaluator